

**HIGH COURT OF JAMMU & KASHMIR AND LADAKH
AT JAMMU**

**Case: WP(C) No. 2143/2026
CM No. 4640/2026**

Joginder Singh and Ors.

..... Petitioner(s)/Appellant(s)

Through :- Mr. Jasvinder Singh, Advocate

Vs

Union of India and Ors.

.....Respondent(s)

Through :- Mr. Karan Sharma, CGSC vice
Mr. Vishal Sharma, DSGI for R-1 & 3
Ms. Priyanka Bhat, Advocate vice
Mrs. Monika Kohli, Sr. AAG for R-2, 4 & 5

**CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE WASIM SADIQ NARGAL, JUDGE**

**ORDER
17.07.2026**

01. After arguing for a while, when confronted with the maintainability of the instant petition, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that he would feel satisfied if a direction is issued to the Sub Divisional Magistrate, Jammu South, to accord due consideration to the representation alleged to have been filed by the petitioners as well as other residents of the area, namely, GovindPura Old Satwari, Jammu, within some reasonable period.

02. Learned counsel for the petitioners has drawn the attention of this Court to the communication dated 03.07.2026, issued by the Assistant Commissioner Jammu, addressed to the SDM concerned, whereby the said officer was directed to examine the contents of the representation and submit an action taken report. It is submitted that the said report has, however, not been submitted till date, which has constrained the petitioners to approach this Court on account of the alleged inaction on part of the concerned SDM.

03. Mr. Karan Sharma, learned CGSC appearing vice Mr. Vishal Sharma, learned DSGI, on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 & 3 and Ms. Priyanka Bhat, Advocate appearing vice Mrs. Monika Kohli, learned Sr. AAG, on behalf of respondent Nos. 2, 4 & 5, who have waived notice, are not averse to the aforesaid prayer, provided the same is directed to be considered strictly in accordance with rules and as per law governing the field.

04. With the consent of learned counsel for the parties and without going into the merits of the controversy or examining the maintainability of the instant petition, since an innocuous prayer has been made, this Court deems it proper to dispose of the writ petition at this stage by directing respondent No. 5 to accord due consideration to the representation alleged to have been filed by the petitioners within a period of four weeks from the date a copy of this order, along with a copy of the instant writ petition and annexure(s) are made available to the said respondent, strictly in accordance with rules and as per law.

05. Needless to say, while according due consideration to the aforesaid representation, respondent No. 5 shall afford an opportunity of hearing to the petitioners and other stakeholders, if required, and the order passed on such consideration shall be forwarded to petitioners by the said respondent through registered post.

06. The instant writ petition is, accordingly, ***disposed of*** along with connected application(s) in the manner indicated above.

(WASIM SADIQ NARGAL)
JUDGE

JAMMU
17.07.2026
Mihul