

**HIGH COURT OF JAMMU AND KASHMIR
AT JAMMU**

...

SWP No. 525/2009

Date of order: 10.11.2017

**Rani Sukarama
v.
State and others**

Coram:

Hon'ble Mr Justice Tashi Rabstan, Judge

Appearing Counsel:

For Petitioner(s): None.

For Respondent(s): Mr. Sanjeev Padha, Govt. Advocate and
 Mr. Sunil Sethi, Sr. Adv. with Mr. Sumit Nayyar, Advocate

(ORAL):

1. Through the medium of instant petition, petitioner is seeking quashment of selection/appointment of private respondent No.4; with a further direction to them to appoint/select her against the available vacancy on the grounds that selection of private respondent is arbitral, unfair without observing the norms/criteria and mandate of directions issued by this Court in Rehana Azeem's case; that private respondent holds lesser merit and lesser qualification; that no allocation of 3% reservation is made out for handicapped category ; and that selection offends Articles 14 and 16 of the Constitution of India.
2. This case pertains to the year 2009. Despite listing of this case in the regular cause list, no one has come present on behalf of the petitioner to seek further instructions in the matter. That being so, it seems that the petitioner is not interested in prosecuting the petition with passage of time. Accordingly, the same is **dismissed** in

default of appearance and non-prosecution along with connected MP(s).

3. Interim direction, if any, shall stand vacated forthwith.

**(Tashi Rabstan)
Judge**

Jammu
10.11.2017
Madan

