

**HIGH COURT OF JAMMU & KASHMIR AND LADAKH
AT JAMMU**

CRM(M) No. 679/2026
CrlM No. 1215/2026

Satpal and another

....Petitioner(s)/Appellant(s)

Through :- Mr. Rajnish Singh Parihar, Advocate
V/s

UT of J&K and another

....Respondent(s)

Through :- Mr. Bhanu Jasrotia, GA

Coram: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE WASIM SADIQ NARGAL, JUDGE

ORDER
13.07.2026

CrlM No. 1251/2026

In compliance to the order passed by this Court dated 07.07.2026, the petitioners have moved the instant application for placing on record documents indicating that while formulating the pension case of mother of the complainant, name of the complainant has already been shown by her mother.

For the reasons stated in this application, the same is allowed. The documents accompanying the instant application are taken on record.

Application stands disposed of.

CRM(M) No. 679/2026

At this stage, Mr. Rajnish Singh Parihar, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that while passing the order dated 07.07.2026, inadvertently, father of the complainant has been reflected, when in fact mother of the complainant was required to be reflected there. His statement is taken on record.

In view of the statement made by learned counsel for the petitioners the order dated 07.07.2026 is rectified to the extent that instead of 'the pension case of the father of the complainant', 'the pension case of the mother of the complainant' be read in the order dated 07.07.2026.

This order shall form part and parcel of the order dated 07.07.2026.

List on 15.07.2026.

(Wasim Sadiq Nargal)
Judge

Jammu:
13.07.2026
Pawan Angotra