

HIGH COURT OF JAMMU & KASHMIR AND LADAKH
AT JAMMU

WP(C) No. 1925/2026
CAV No. 1826/2026
WP(C) No. 1926/2026
CAV No. 1794/2026
WP(C) No. 1927/2026
CAV No. 1830/2026

Amit Mahajan

.....Appellant(s)/Petitioner(s)

Through: Mr. Amit Gupta, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Sumit Moza, Advocate

vs

UT of J&K and others

..... Respondent(s)

Through: Mr. Adarsh Sharma, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Atul Verma, Advocate

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY PARIHAR, JUDGE

ORDER

03.07.2026

CAV Nos. 1826/2026, 1794/2026 & 1830/2026

1. After hearing learned counsel for the caveators, the caveats stand *discharged*. Therefore, the aforesaid petitions were taken up for consideration.

WP(C) Nos. 1925/2026, 1926/2026 & 1927/2026

2. It is submitted by the counsel for the petitioners that similar petitions are pending before this Court and has drawn the attention of this Court regarding orders passed in WP(C) No. 1912/2026 and WP(C) No. 1914/2026. That the petitioners are the original allottees holding the possession of the subject matter i.e. Commercial Halls situated at South Block and North Block, Bahu Plaza, Jammu, which had been allotted to the petitioners forty years back and that now the

respondents without providing an effective hearing to the petitioners are bent upon to cancel the allotment and put the premises to public auction.

3. It is submitted that the allotment was made in favour of the petitioners on first come first serve basis which was duly recognised by the respondents and since then the petitioners have been continuously enjoying the use and occupation of the premises and there has been no dispute with regard to payment of rent but the respondents are bent upon to cancel the allotment in terms of order impugned thereby depriving the petitioners the right to continue with the premises; that in case the order impugned is being allowed to operate that would put the petitioners to a great loss and injury.
4. *Prima facie*, a case for intervention is made out.
5. Issue notice to the respondents, which is waived by Mr. Atul Verma, Advocate on behalf of the respondents
6. Till next date of hearing, the effect and operation of impugned order dated 19.06.2026 is stayed in all the three petitions.
7. It is pointed out by learned counsel for the petitioners that similar matters are already pending adjudication and those are required to be clubbed with the present petitions.
8. List these petitions along with OWP No. 510/2013 being the lead case.

(Sanjay Parihar)
Judge