



2026:JKLHC-JMU:2688

Serial No. 102

HIGH COURT OF JAMMU & KASHMIR AND LADAKH
AT JAMMU

WP(C) No. 1916/2026

M/S GF Construction

.....Appellant(s)/Petitioner(s)

Through: Mr. D.C. Raina, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Anuj Dewan Raina, Advocate &
Mr. Mohd. Junaid, Advocate

vs

UT of J&K and others

..... Respondent(s)

Through: Mr. Ravinder Gupta, AAG

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY PARIHAR, JUDGE

ORDER

03.07.2026

1. In terms of order dated 01.07.2026, the respondents were directed to seek instructions and explain the reasons for not awarding the contract in favour of the petitioner in spite of the fact that the writ petition filed by a third party stood already dismissed and there being no legal impediment on the respondents to proceed and consider the allotment of work to the petitioner, as he stated to be L1 bidder.
2. Mr. D. C. Raina, learned Senior Counsel for the petitioner further submits that even on the instance of the respondents he had scaled down the costs of the contract by giving rebate, being a discount offer made by the respondents. But even then the respondents have not gone ahead in allotting the contract to the petitioner.
3. Mr. Ravinder Gupta, learned AAG for the respondents submits that a Committee has already been constituted, which is scheduled to meet



on 06.07.2026, who has been authorized to take a call as regard subject matter under dispute.

4. Learned Senior counsel further submits that he has apprehensions that the Committee may cancel the bid, thereby non-suiting him.
5. Given the aforesaid submissions, since the respondents are already seized of the matter and a Committee is likely to sit and ponder over on 06.07.2026, until the committee takes a decision, the parties shall maintain *status quo* as regard to the allotment of the contract.
6. List on **20.07.2026**.

Jammu
03.07.2026
Rahul Sharma



(Sanjay Parihar)
Judge