

**HIGH COURT OF JAMMU & KASHMIR AND LADAKH  
AT JAMMU**

CM(M) No. 119/2023  
CM No. 4082/2023

Ram Krishan

.....Appellant(s)/Petitioner(s)

Through: Mr. Anil Sethi, Advocate.

**Vs**

Netar Parkash

..... Respondent(s)

Through: Mr. Sayed Mazid Shah, Advocate.

**Coram: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE WASIM SADIQ NARGAL, JUDGE**

**ORDER**  
**30.09.2025**

1. The record reveals that Mr. Dalvinder Kumar, Advocate vice Mr. Anil Sethi, Advocate for the petitioner has submitted that the appeal has been restored and the same is pending before the Court below. However, the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent submits that the statement made on behalf of the learned counsel is factually incorrect in the light of the status which is being displayed on the e-court site.
2. Registry is, accordingly, directed to get a report from the Court of learned Additional District and Sessions Judge, Rajouri to provide the status of Appeal bearing No. Appeal/659/2023 in case titled '*Ram Krishan and Others v. Netar Parkash*'.
3. Registry to get the report from the said Court within one week positively.
4. List this matter on **03.11.2025**.

**(Wasim Sadiq Nargal)**  
**Judge**

Jammu  
30.09.2025  
Raj Kumar