

**HIGH COURT OF JAMMU & KASHMIR AND LADAKH
AT JAMMU**

Case: CM(M) No. 179/2025
CAV No. 1323/2025

Prem Singh

..... Petitioner(s)/Appellant(s)

Through :- Mr. Sunil Sethi, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Lawanya Sharma, Advocate

Vs

Virinder Kumar Dubey and Ors.

.....Respondent(s)

Through :- Mr. J.S. Jasrotia, Advocate for R-1
Ms. Priyanka Bhat, Advocate vice
Mrs. Monika Kohli, Sr. AAG for R-3

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE WASIM SADIQ NARGAL, JUDGE

ORDER
18.08.2025

In terms of order dated 29.07.2025, respondent Nos. 2 and 3 have submitted that since it is an inter se dispute, as such, there is no need of filing reply on their behalf and in light of the aforesaid stand taken, prayer was allowed.

Learned counsel for respondent No. 3 submits by virtue of last order dated 29.07.2023, it has been recorded inadvertently that there is no need of filing reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 2 & 3 when in fact respondent No. 3 intend to file reply in the instant petition and she prays that the record be corrected accordingly.

Let the reply be filed on behalf of respondent No. 3 within a period of two weeks positively. However, learned counsel for the respondent No. 2 has already made a statement that there is no need of filing reply on his behalf and today there is no representation on his behalf. Await appearance.

It is made clear that in case respondent No. 2 intends to file reply, same shall be filed on or before the next date of hearing positively.

List on 09.09.2025.

Meanwhile, interim direction, if any subsisting, shall continue.

(WASIM SADIQ NARGAL)
JUDGE

JAMMU
18.08.2025
Mihul

