

**HIGH COURT OF JAMMU & KASHMIR AND LADAKH
AT JAMMU**

Case: CRM(M) No. 540/2022

Ajay Mahajan

....Petitioner(s)/Appellant(s)

Through :- Mr. Pankaj Kattal, Advocate vice
Mr. Ankur Sharma, Advocate

V/s

U.T. of J&K and Ors.

....Respondent(s)

Through :- Mr. Bhanu Jasrotia, GA vice
Mr. P.D. Singh, Dy. AG. for R- 1 to 4

Coram: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SHAHZAD AZEEM, JUDGE

ORDER
08.05.2026.

As per the office report, notices issued to respondent No. 5 & 6 have been received back with the remarks that the said respondents refused to accept the notices.

In this view of the matter, service upon respondent Nos. 5 & 6 is deemed to have duly effected.

List for consideration on **14.07.2026.**

(SHAHZAD AZEEM)
JUDGE

Jammu:
08.05.2026
Mihul