



Suppl. Cause List-1
Sr. No. 147

HIGH COURT OF JAMMU & KASHMIR AND LADAKH
AT JAMMU

WP(C) No. 1606/2026

Pronounced on:- 03.06.2026

Uploaded on:- 04.06.2026

M/S Samrat Ferro Alloy (P) Ltd.

....Petitioner(s)

Through:- Mr. Karman Singh Johal, Advocate.

V/s

UT of J&K and ors.

.....Respondent(s)

Through:- Mr. Dewakar Sharma, Dy. AG.

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MOKSHA KHAJURIA KAZMI, JUDGE

ORDER

1. By this petition, filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner has challenged Order bearing No. 02 of DI&C/J of 2024 dated 28.09.2024 issued by Respondent No. 2, whereby respondent No. 3 has been directed not to grant permission for "change in line of activity" of an existing unit to "Warehousing and Logistic Activity", without obtaining prior consent of the Directorate, with a further direction upon the respondents, particularly, respondent Nos. 2 and 3 to grant the permission of "change of line of activity" to "Warehousing and Logistic Activity" in its favour in terms of Industrial Policy 2021-2030.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has produced copy of order dated 06.03.2026 passed in WP(C) No. 554/2026 in case titled, "*M/s Shakti Warehouse Vs. Union Territory of J&K and ors.*", wherein the respondents were directed to consider the claim of the petitioner, as projected in the petition. He has also produced copy of order dated 02.05.2026 issued by the Director, Industries & Commerce, Jammu, wherein in compliance to the directions passed in WP(C) No. 554/2026, permission has been granted in favour of



the petitioner therein for transfer of leasehold rights of 04 Kanals of land from M/s V S Industries to M/s Shakti Warehouse and subsequent de-registration of M/s V S Industries, subject to the condition that in case of any liability in future, if arises with any department, shall be borne by the proprietor of the unit, viz, Sh. Mukesh Bhasin of M/s Shakti Warehouse. The said orders are taken on record.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner would feel satisfied, if the respondents are directed to treat this writ petition as representation and to accord due consideration to the same within some reasonable period of time.
4. Learned counsel for the respondents states that he is not averse to the proposition made by learned counsel for the petitioner.
5. Be that as it may, this writ petition is *disposed of*, at its threshold, with a direction to the respondents to treat this petition as representation and after considering the claim of the petitioner, take a decision thereon strictly under rules and as per law. The said exercise be carried out by the respondents within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order alongwith complete set of writ petition and annexures annexed thereto, by passing a speaking order.

(MOKSHA KHAJURIA KAZMI)
JUDGE

JAMMU
03.06.2026
Ram Krishan