

**HIGH COURT OF JAMMU AND KASHMIR AT JAMMU**

SWP No.768/2019 & IA No.01/2019

**Date of order:12.04.2019**

Sujata Lalotra

Vs.

State & ors.

**Coram:**

**Hon'ble Mrs. Justice Sindhu Sharma, Judge.**

**Appearing counsel:**

For Petitioner (s) : Mr. Jagpaal Singh, Advocate.

For Respondent (s) :

- |     |   |   |         |
|-----|---|---|---------|
| i)  | Whether to be reported in Digest/Journal      | : | Yes/No. |
| ii) | Whether approved for reporting in Press/Media | : | Yes/No. |

Petitioner has challenged Clause (ii) of Government Order No.919-Edu of 2018 dated 16.11.2018 which reads as under:-

*“All advertisement notices for engagement of Rehbar-e-Taleem Teachers or panels prepared where no engagement orders have been issued shall and shall always be deemed to have been cancelled/withdrawn as ab-initio.”*

Contention of the petitioner is that Clause (ii) would not govern her case since the petitioner's selection was made prior to issuance of Government order, thus, the impugned order dated 16.11.2018 cannot be made applicable to the petitioner retrospectively.

Learned counsel states that this Court has already passed the interim directions on 07.12.2018 in SWP No.2477/2018 and further states that the petitioner is similarly situated as the petitioners in SWP No.2477/2018, therefore, seeks the similar relief.

Issue notice to the respondents in the main petition as well as IA, returnable within four weeks. Requisites for service within one week.

List alongwith SWP No.2477/2018.

Item No.75

Meanwhile, subject to objections and till next date of hearing, Clause (ii) of Government Order No.919-Edu of 2018 dated 16.11.2018 shall not come in the way of consideration of petitioner's claim in issuing the appointment order, if any.

**(Sindhu Sharma)**  
**Judge**

Jammu  
12.04.2019  
*Ram Murti*

