

**HIGH COURT OF JAMMU & KASHMIR AND LADAKH  
AT JAMMU**

Case:- WP(C) No.1121/2022

Royal Palms Residents Welfare  
Association

..... Petitioner(s)

Through: Mr. Pranav Kohli, Sr. Advocate with  
Mr. Vastav Sharma, Advocate

**Vs**

UT of J&K & Ors.

.....Respondent(s)

Through: Mr. Vishal Sharma, DSGI with  
Mr. Sumant Sudan, Advocate  
Ms. Nazia Fazal, Advocate with  
Mrs. Monika Kohli, Sr. Advocate  
Mr. Manhar Mahajan, Advocate for R-  
6.

**Coram:** HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAHUL BHARTI, JUDGE

ORDER

(14.11.2025)

1. Mr. Manhar Mahajan, Advocate appears and submits that he would be entering appearance on behalf of respondent No.6-Ridhi Sidhi INFRA Projects Pvt. Ltd., as earlier it was Mr. Raman Sharma, learned Senior Advocate and Mr. Sanchit Verma, Advocate who were conducting the case on behalf of respondent No.6 on the basis of power of attorney dated **30.05.2022**, in which the power of attorney even Mr. Manhar Mahajan, Advocate, is also a signatory who may be a passive advocate.

2. On behalf of respondent No.6, the Vakalatnama was executed by Mr. Ramesh Chand Gupta, who introduced himself as an authorized signatory.
3. The response on behalf of respondent No.6- Ridhi Sidhi INFRA Projects Pvt. Ltd., to the writ petition came to be filed on **16.06.2022**.
4. The reply filed by respondent No.6 to the writ petition is by Mr. Ramesh Chander Gupta, identifying himself to be an authorised signatory but without accompanying document worth name to show how he came to be constituted as an authorized signatory on behalf of respondent No.6, so much so as to what is the official status and position of Mr. Ramesh Chander Gupta vis-à-vis respondent No.6 in terms of its establishment is also not disclosed in the reply.
5. Mr. Manhar Mahajan, Advocate is given an opportunity to come forward with the Vakalatnama on behalf of respondent No.6, to be furnished by duly authorized representative bearing the authorization in black and white to accompany the filing of Vakalatnama.
6. Needful be done positively failing which, any adverse order against respondent No.6 shall be at the cost of respondent No.6 as well as the counsel appearing on behalf of respondent No.6.

7. In addition, this Court calls upon Mr. Ravinder Gupta, learned AAG on the next date of hearing.
8. Assistant Executive Engineer, Electric Decision-I, Canal, Jammu, shall remain present in person to assist this Court on the basis of record fully brought along with respect to the generation of the liability of **Rs.1,51,02,050/-** ending February 2024 with respect to Consumer ID No.**0103010034843**.
9. A copy of this order be provided to Mr. Ravinder Gupta, learned AAG for notice and compliance at the end of Assistant Executive Engineer, Electric Decision-I, Canal, Jammu.
10. In the meantime, respondent No.5-Amaar Nath, the erstwhile owner of the site of the Royal Palms Resident Welfare Apartment is allowed to come up with the requisite affidavit in response to the reply submitted by respondent No.6 in terms whereof the shifting and shuttling of liability being stated between respondent No.6 & 5.
11. List on **28.11.2025**.

**(RAHUL BHARTI)**  
**JUDGE**

**JAMMU**  
**14.11.2025**  
Sneha