

**HIGH COURT OF JAMMU & KASHMIR AND LADAKH  
AT JAMMU**

CRM (M) No. 288/2021  
CrIM No. 815/2021  
CrIM No. 816/2021  
CrIM No. 2460/2021  
In  
Bail App No. 144/2021  
CrIM No. 1936/2021  
CrIM No. 892/2021

.....Petitioner(s)

Santosh Kesar and another

Through: Mr. Vikas Sharma, Advocate.

**Vs**

UT of J&K and another

..... Respondent(s)

Through: Mr. Amit Gupta, AAG.

**Coram: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PUNEET GUPTA, JUDGE**

**ORDER**  
**10.01.2022**

**Bail App No. 144/2021 :**

The objections/status report stand filed by the respondent in the application. The learned counsel for the applicant during the course of arguments has referred to another complaint filed by the prosecutrix against the petitioner herein and submits that the petitioner is entitled to bail keeping in view the allegations leveled in that complaint. The argument is of course refuted by the other side.

The Court is of the view that the statement of the prosecutrix recorded in the FIR in question is required to be gone through by the court before deciding the bail application. Accordingly, the learned counsel for

the respondent is directed to place before the court the statement of the prosecutrix recorded under Section 164-A Cr.P.C by the next date.

List along with CRM (M) No. 288/2021 on 01.02.2022 **as per roster.**

**( Puneet Gupta )  
Judge**

Jammu  
10.01.2022  
Pawan Chopra

