

**HIGH COURT OF JAMMU & KASHMIR AND LADAKH
AT JAMMU**

CRM (M) No. 180/2020

.....Petitioner(s)

Pawan Kumar Khanna and Anr.

Through: Mr. Manoj Sharma, Advocate vice
Mr. Koshal Parihar, Advocate.

Vs

UT of J&K and Anr..

..... Respondent(s)

Through: Mr. Pawan Dev Singh, Dy. AG.

Coram: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE WASIM SADIQ NARGAL, JUDGE

ORDER
24.02.2025

1. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submits that he has not prepared the case today and seeks adjournment, which is being opposed by the opposite counsel.
2. The record reveals that this Court vide order dated 18.09.2024 has lifted the rider imposed by a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 06.07.2020 and respondents have been granted liberty to produce the challan before the competent Court of law.
3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in compliance to order dated 18.09.2024, charge-sheet has since been filed before the competent Court of law and in light of this fact, the instant petition has been rendered infructuous and the same be dismissed.
4. Confronted with the same, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks one week's time to address the arguments.
5. Heard in Part.
6. List for consideration on **07.03.2025**, with a rider that no further adjournment on any count whatsoever shall be granted on the next date of hearing and the matter will be heard on its merits.

(Wasim Sadiq Nargal)
Judge

JAMMU
24.02.2025
Ram Krishan

