

**HIGH COURT OF JAMMU & KASHMIR AND LADAKH
AT JAMMU**

Case:- CM(M) No. 1510/2026

Ashok Kumar

.....Petitioner

Through: None

Vs

UT of J&K & Anr.

.....Respondent(s)

Through:

Coram: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAHUL BHARTI, JUDGE

ORDER
(04.05.2026)

1. The petitioner- Ashok Kumar acting through Advocate- Mr. Abid Khan, filed a petition under article 227 of the Constitution of India on **16.04.2026** by delivering the file at the Examination Counter and, thereafter, least bothering to know about its fate.

2. A case file submitted in the High Court of Jammu and Kashmir and Ladakh is supposed to pass through the prescribed examination under Jammu and Kashmir High Court Rules, 1999.

3. The Examiner came to notify deficiency of non-payment of court fees of **Rs. 46/-** and despite that it has not occurred to Advocate- Mr. Abid Khan to do the needful correction with respect to removal of the defect. So much so, Advocate- Abid Khan has not even bothered to annex the requisite court fees with respect to his Vakalatnama which is admissible to payment of court fees under Court Fees Act, 1870 by reference to Schedule (II) entry (10).

4. The manner in which Advocate- Abid Khan has come forward with filing of the present petition is literally amounting to a professional misconduct which needs to be viewed seriously by this Court short of referring the matter for disciplinary action against him.

5. This Court, therefore, dismisses the petition as being a defective one and let it be consigned to the record.

(RAHUL BHARTI)
JUDGE

JAMMU
04.05.2026
Sneha

