

**HIGH COURT OF JAMMU & KASHMIR AND LADAKH
AT JAMMU**

Case:- CCP(S) No. 122/2024 in
WP(C) No.1528/2023

Mohan Singh

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Jasbir Singh Jasrotia, Advocate

Vs

Piyush Dhotra, Sub-Divisional Magistrate,
Katra & Ors.

.....Respondent(s)

Through: Ms. Nazia Fazal, Advocate vice
Mrs. Monika Kohli, Sr. AAG
Mr. Suneel Malhotra, GA

Coram: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAHUL BHARTI, JUDGE

**ORDER
(22.04.2026)**

1. The admitted position which gets culled out from two rounds of litigation, first in terms of **OWP No. 1556/2010** read with second round of writ petition- **WP(C) No. 1528/2023** both filed by the petitioner, is that the petitioner's **1 kanal 10 marlas and 1 sarsai** land in **khasra No. 56 min** in village Kundarorian, Katra at no point of time ever formed subject matter of any acquisition proceedings to become part of the acquired land and that remains the position as on date.

2. This position of fact gets confirmed from the fact that the Katra Development Authority (KDA) by virtue of an exercise undertaken in year **2025** has reached to the District Commissioner, Reasi, asking for acquisition of the petitioner's owned and possessed land measuring **1 kanal 10 marlas and 1 sarsai** land in **khasra No.**

56 min and this is what is the base position obtaining as on date and that suffices the intendment of the writ court direction as given in order dated **22.12.2023** so as to clear the petitioner's ownership land from any tag of being land under acquisition process.

3. This contempt petition is, thus, closed without prejudice to the right of the petitioner to resort to an appropriate legal course of action including filing of writ petition before this Court in case the petitioner's ownership and right for the land in reference are prejudiced or meant to be prejudiced at the end of the public authorities/officers/officials is adopting due course of law.

4. Contempt petition is, thus, **closed**.

JAMMU
22.04.2026
Sneha

