

**HIGH COURT OF JAMMU & KASHMIR AND LADAKH
AT JAMMU**

CCP(S) No. 128/2024 in
WP(C) No. 739/2020

Bishan Dass

.....Petitioner(s)

Through: Mr. Rahul Pant, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Dhruv Pant, Advocate.

Vs

Shahid Iqbal, Commissioner Secretary Rural Dev. Deptt. & Ors.

..... Respondent(s)

Through: Mr. S. S. Nanda, Sr. AAG
Ms. Chetna Manhas, Advocate vice
Mrs. Monika Kohli, Sr. AAG.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY DHAR, JUDGE

ORDER
11.08.2025

1. The claim of the petitioner with regard to the alleged outstanding dues has been considered by the respondents in terms of the consideration order dated 24.09.2024.
2. A perusal of the aforesaid consideration order reveals that the respondents have nowhere disputed the quantity or cost of the material supplied by the petitioner for the work executed. Stand of the respondents is that while executing the works, material component has been exceeded by the executing agency and, therefore, there has been a violation of the guidelines issued under Mahatma Gandhi National Rural Employment Guarantee Act (MNREGA) Scheme. On this basis, the claim of the petitioner has been rejected.
3. Direction of the writ court was to verify the claim of the petitioner and if it was found genuine, the same was to be released

in their favour. However, the consideration order passed by the respondents is not in tune with the directions of the writ court.

4. The respondents are, therefore, directed to file fresh consideration order indicating therein as to whether the petitioner had actually supplied the material he claims to have supplied, and if so, whether the entire cost of the said material has been disbursed to the petitioner and if not the balance amount has to be paid to him.

5. Needful shall be done by the respondents by or before the next date of hearing.

6. List on **08.10.2025**.

JAMMU
11.08.2025
Shivalee

