

**HIGH COURT OF JAMMU & KASHMIR AND LADAKH
AT JAMMU**

**Case: CCP(S) No. 119/2025 IN
WP(C) No. 92/2025**

Resident of Lane No. 1 Lakadmandi
Janipur and Ors.

..... Petitioner(s)/Appellant(s)

Through :- Mr. M. Tariq Mughal, Advocate

Vs

Kumar Rajeev Ranjan and Ors.

.....Respondent(s)

Through :- Ms. Priyanka Bhat, Advocate vice
Mrs. Monika Kohli, Sr. AAG for R- 1, 2, 3 & 5
Mr. Sumeet Bhatia, GA for R- 4

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE WASIM SADIQ NARGAL, JUDGE

ORDER
01.04.2026

Learned counsel for the petitioner fairly submits that the instant contempt petition survives only insofar as respondent No. 4 i.e. Commissioner, Jammu Municipal Corporation, Jammu is concerned, as the direction passed by the learned writ Court was issued only to the said respondent. Accordingly, he prays for deletion of the remaining respondents from the array of parties in the instant contempt petition. Prayer allowed.

The instant contempt petition shall, therefore, continue only against the Commissioner, Jammu Municipal Corporation, Jammu. On his behalf, Mr. Sumeet Bhatia, learned GA, has caused appearance on asking of the Court. He seeks and is granted two weeks' time to file a fresh compliance report on behalf of the said respondent.

List on **27.04.2026**.

(WASIM SADIQ NARGAL)
JUDGE

JAMMU
01.04.2026
Mihul