

HIGH COURT OF JAMMU & KASHMIR AND LADAKH
AT JAMMU

CM No. 31/2026 in
CCP(S) No. 119/2025

Resident of Lane No. 1
Lakadmandi Janipur and others

.... Petitioner/Appellant(s)

Through:- Mr. Mohd. Tariq Mughal, Advocate.

V/s

Kumar Rajeev Ranjan and
others

.....Respondent(s)

Through:-

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE WASIM SADIQ NARGAL, JUDGE

ORDER

23.03.2026

1. The applicants/petitioners have filed the instant application seeking revival of Contempt Petition, being CCP(S) No. 119 of 2025, which was disposed of by this Court vide order dated 20.01.2025 and, as on date, the same has not been complied with by the respondents.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioners has drawn the attention of this Court to the order dated 20.01.2025 passed in the aforesaid contempt petition, whereby the proceedings were closed with an observation that in case the order passed by this Court is not complied with within a period of two weeks, the petitioners shall be at liberty to seek revival of the contempt petition and, in that eventuality, this Court would be constrained to take coercive measures against respondent No. 4, i.e., Commissioner, JMC, Jammu.
3. It has further been submitted by learned counsel for the petitioners that despite the aforesaid order, the respondents have failed to comply

with the directions passed by this Court, therefore, the present application has been filed seeking revival of the contempt petition in terms of the liberty granted.

4. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and perused the record.
5. In view of the submissions made and the liberty granted by this Court vide order dated 20.01.2025, the present application is allowed. The contempt petition (CCP(S) No. 119 of 2025) is restored to its original number.
6. Registry is directed to list the contempt petition on 01.04.2026.

(Wasim Sadiq Nargal)
Judge

Jammu:

23.03.2026

Michal Sharma/PS