

**HIGH COURT OF JAMMU & KASHMIR AND LADAKH  
AT JAMMU**

WP(C) No. 782/2026  
CM No. 1770/2026

**Amir Farooq Bhat**

.....Petitioner(s)

Through: Mr. Mohd Arif, Advocate

**Vs**

**UT of J&K & Ors**

..... Respondent(s)

Through: Ms. Priyanka Bhat, Advocate vice  
Mrs. Monika Kohli, Sr. AAG

**Coram: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE WASIM SADIQ NARGAL, JUDGE**

**ORDER**  
**(01.04.2026)**

- 01.** Heard learned counsel for the petitioner at length and perused the record.
- 02.** After arguing for a while, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner would feel satisfied, in case the respondents 3 and 4 are directed to treat the instant writ petition as representation and are directed to accord due consideration to the same within some reasonable time. Since an innocuous prayer has been made, on the asking of the Court, Ms.Priyanka Bhat, Assisting Counsel, appearing on behalf of the respondents vice Mrs.Monika Kohli, Sr. AAG, submits that she is not averse to the disposal of the writ petition at the very threshold, provided the same shall be accorded strictly in accordance with law.
- 03.** With the consent of learned counsel for the parties, the instant writ petition is taken up for disposal at the threshold, with a direction to the respondents 3 and 4 to consider the claim of the petitioner by treating this writ petition as representation and take a decision thereon strictly under rules and as per

law and pass a consideration order within a period of four weeks from the date a certified copy of this order alongwith complete set of writ petition are made available to the said respondents. The order of consideration, which is likely to be passed, be forwarded to the petitioner through registered post.

04. The writ petition is *disposed of* in the manner indicated above.

(WASIM SADIQ NARGAL)  
JUDGE

JAMMU  
01.04.2026  
Vijay

