

**HIGH COURT OF JAMMU & KASHMIR AND LADAKH
AT JAMMU**

WP(C) No.661/2023
CM No.1550/2023

Vijay Kumar Sharma and another

....Petitioner(s)/Appellant(s)

Through :- Mr. Rakesh Chargoitra, Advocate.

V/s

Union Territory of Jammu & Kashmir
and others

....Respondent(s)

Through :- Ms. Monika Kohli, Sr. AAG for R-2 to 5.
Mr. S.S Nanda, Sr. AAG for R-10.
Mr. Raman Sharma, AAG for R-1 & 6 to 9.
Mr. S.H Rather, Advocate for R-11.

**Coram: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TASHI RABSTAN, JUDGE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH SEKHRI, JUDGE**

ORDER

17.03.2023

It is submitted by Mr. S.H Rather, learned counsel appearing for respondent No.11 that in an identical petition bearing OWP No.2195/2018 title '*Inhabitants of Patoli Brahmna vs. State of Jammu and Kashmir and others*', while putting the respondents to notice, the learned Single Judge vide order dated 29.11.2019, has directed the parties to maintain status quo. He has produced a copy of order dated 29.11.2019 passed in OWP No.2195/2018, the same is taken on record.

Mr. Rakesh Chargoitra, learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submits that instant petition is identical to that of OWP No.2195/2018 and prays that the same relief may be granted in this petition as well. His statement is taken on record.

Notice.

Ms. Monika Kohli, learned Sr. AAG accepts notice on behalf of the respondent Nos. 2 to 5, Mr. S.S Nanda, learned Sr. AAG accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.10, Mr. Raman Sharma, learned AAG accepts notice on

behalf of respondent Nos. 1 & 6 to 9 and Mr. S.H Rather, learned counsel accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.11.

Response, if any, be filed by or before the next date of hearing.

List on 16.05.2023.

Meanwhile, subject to objections from the other side, status quo as it exists today, shall be maintained.

Jammu:
17.03.2023
Surinder



(Rajesh Sekhri)
Judge

(Tashi Rabstan)
Judge