

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JAMMU & KASHMIR AND LADAKH  
AT JAMMU

CRM(M) 199/2026  
CrIM(372/2026)

**RANBIR SINGH RANDHAWA AND ANOTHER** ...Petitioner/Appellant(s)

Through: Mr. Harneet Singh Oberoi, Advocate

Vs.

**UT OF J AND K TH HOME DEPARTMENT AND OTHERS** ...Respondent(s)

Through: Mr. Vivek Matto, Advocate vice  
Mr. Vishal Bharti, Dy.AG for R-3,4 and 5

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY PARIHAR, JUDGE**

**ORDER**

06.03.2026

1. During the course of hearing, it was pointed out that the complainant has not been arrayed as a party. Since the matter is at its initial stage, ld. counsel for the petitioners submits that he would seek table amendment by incorporating the name of the complainant as respondent No. 9 in the petition. Prayer so made is allowed. Fresh memo of parties shall be filed during the course of the day. Thereafter, table amendment shall be effected before the Registrar Judicial.
2. Notice waived by ld. counsel appearing for respondents 3, 4 and 5. Response be filed in a week's time. Meanwhile, issue notice to other respondents.
3. List on 07.04.2026.

(SANJAY PARIHAR)  
JUDGE

**JAMMU:**  
06.03.2026  
*Akshil Dev*