

Serial No. 09

**HIGH COURT OF JAMMU & KASHMIR AND LADAKH  
AT JAMMU**

Case:- WP(C) No. 536/2023

**M/S Ghai Stone Crusher**

.....Appellant(s)/Petitioner(s)

Through: Mr. S. Parvinder Singh, Advocate.

**Vs**

**UT of J&K & Ors.**

.....Respondent(s)

Through: Ms. Jagmeet Kour, Advocate vice  
Mr. Ravinder Gupta, AAG for R-1, 3 to 5.  
None for others.

**Coram: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAHUL BHARTI, JUDGE**

**ORDER  
(06.05.2025)**

- 01.** Reply from the end of the respondent No. 2 is awaited only, as the rest of the respondents have come forward with reply/ies. Mr. S. S. Nanda, learned Senior AAG is representing the respondent No. 2 – District Development Commissioner, Poonch.
- 02.** The reply from the respondent No. 2's end is just a matter of formality as the learned counsel for the petitioner submits that it is the reply of the respondents No. 1, 3 to 5 which is of the effect and, as such, said reply already been on record would suffice the purpose for the adjudication of the writ petition.
- 03.** List for consideration **20.05.2025**.

**(RAHUL BHARTI)  
JUDGE**

**JAMMU  
06.05.2025**  
*Bunty*