

**HIGH COURT OF JAMMU & KASHMIR AND LADAKH
AT JAMMU**

**CRM(M) No. 141/2024
CrIM No. 332/2024**

Rayaz Ahmed and ors.

.....Appellant(s)/Petitioner(s)

Through: Mr. Waseem Akram Mir, Advocate

Vs

UT of J&K and ors.

..... Respondent(s)

Through:

Coram: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY DHAR, JUDGE

ORDER

06.03.2024

1. The petitioners have challenged FIR No. 110 for offences under Section 363/109 IPC registered with Police Station, Arnas. It is contended by learned counsel for the petitioners that petitioner No. 3 and respondent No. 3 have entered into a wedlock and that both are major. It has also been submitted that the petitioners and the private respondents have entered into compromise, whereby the private respondents have undertaken not to pursue the impugned FIR.
2. Issue notice to the respondents.
3. Mr. Sumeet Bhatia, GA accepts notice on behalf of respondent No. 1. Mr. Mehtab Gulzar, Advocate accepts notice on behalf of respondent Nos. 2 and 3. They may file their response by next date of hearing.
4. List on 19.04.2024.

5. In the meanwhile, till next date of hearing, investigation in the impugned FIR shall remain stayed. However the Investigating Officer shall be at liberty to record the statement of respondent No. 3 under Section 164 Cr. P.C. before the Magistrate and he shall also be at liberty to undertake the investigation with regard to age of respondent No. 3.

(SANJAY DHAR)
JUDGE

Jammu
06.03.2024
Neha-II

