

HIGH COURT OF JAMMU & KASHMIR AND LADAKH
AT JAMMU

CCP(S) No. 59/2025 in
OWP No. 1649/2017

Sher Singh and others

.... Petitioner/Appellant(s)

Through:- Mr. O.P. Thakur, Sr. Advocate.

V/s

Chanraker Bharti and another

.....Respondent(s)

Through:- None.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE WASIM SADIQ NARGAL, JUDGE
ORDER

02.03.2026

1. Mr. O.P. Thakur, learned Senior Counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners, has drawn the attention of this Court to the judgment dated 27.03.2024, whereby the writ petition preferred by the petitioners was allowed to the extent of directing the respondents to sanction and release monthly cash assistance in favour of petitioner Nos. 2, 3, 11 and 12 with effect from August, 1999 and in favour of the remaining petitioners with effect from November, 1999, i.e., the date from which they have been treated as migrants and have been receiving free ration.

2. While disposing of the writ petition, the learned Writ Court further directed the respondents to calculate the entire arrears payable to the petitioners and disburse the same within a period of two months from the date a copy of the judgment was served upon them.

3. Learned Senior Counsel submits that despite having knowledge of the aforesaid judgment, the respondents have failed to comply with the same within the stipulated period.

4. There is no representation on behalf of the respondents today. However, a statement of facts stands filed on their behalf, wherein a specific stand has been taken that an appeal (LPA No. 259/2024), being *'UT of J&K and others vs. Sher Singh and others'*, is pending consideration. A bare perusal of the statement of facts does not indicate that the judgment passed by the learned Writ Court has been stayed or that there is any order staying proceedings in the present contempt petition.
5. It goes without saying that mere filing of an LPA does not give an unfettered right to the respondents not to implement the order of the learned Writ Court if there is no such restraint order by the Hon'ble Division Bench.
6. In the absence of any representation on behalf of the respondents, two weeks' further time is granted to the respondents to enable them to place on record compliance of the aforesaid judgment, failing which, respondent Nos. 1 and 2 shall appear in person on the next date of hearing through virtual mode unless an order to the contrary passed by the Division Bench is produced.
7. List on 25.03.2026.

(Wasim Sadiq Nargal)
Judge

Jammu:

02.03.2026

Michal Sharma/PS