

IN HIGH COURT OF JAMMU AND KASHMIR
AT JAMMU

OWP No.779/2010
IA Nos. 1488/2013, 1059/13, 1381/13,
1358/12 c/w CPOWP No.50/2012

Dr. Yugal Kishore and ors

Through:- Mr. K.S.Johal Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Karman Singh Johal Advocate

...Petitioner(s)

Vs

State and ors

Through:- Mr. P.N.Raina Sr. Advocate with
Mr. J. Hamal Advocate.

...Respondents

Coram:

Hon'ble Mr Justice Sanjeev Kumar, Judge.

ORDER

CM No. 1488/2013

1 Objections to this application have been filed by respondent No.6, whereas other respondents have not filed their objections.

2 Having heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record, I am of the view that the amendment is necessitated in view of the developments that have taken place after the filing of the writ petition. The petitioners had initially challenged the Notification issued by the respondents under Sections 4 and 6 of the Land Acquisition Act, but during the pendency of the writ petition, the Collector Land Acquisition has proceeded further and has passed the final award. There are other

developments like a decision taken for declaring the property in question as Wakf Property etc.

3 Be that as it may, for the reasons stated in the application, the same is allowed subject to all just exceptions. Resultantly, the amendment sought for is allowed. The petitioners have already placed on record the memo of proposed amended writ petition which is taken on record.

Disposed of as such.

OWP No. 779/2010 (amended writ petition)

Learned counsel for the respondents to file objections within eight weeks.

List on 21st August, 2019.

Interim directions to continue till next date before the Bench.

Registry to reflect the name of Mr. P.N.Raina learned Sr. Advocate as counsel for respondent No. 6 in the cause list.

(Sanjeev Kumar)
Judge

Date

27.05. 2019.

Sanjeev