

**HIGH COURT OF JAMMU & KASHMIR AND LADAKH**  
**AT JAMMU**

CCP(S) No. 39/2023

Jeet Raj Sharma

.....Applicant(s)/Petitioner(s)

Through :- Mr. G. S. Thakur, Advocate

v/s

Anand Jain Director Anti Corruption Bureau

.....Respondent(s)

Through :- Ms. Chetna Manhas, Advocate vice  
Mr. Raman Sharma, AAG

**CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MOKSHA KHAJURIA KAZMI, JUDGE**

**ORDER**  
**01.07.2025**

By this petition, the petitioner herein has sought initiation of contempt proceedings against the respondent for willfully violating the judgment dated 30.05.2022 passed in case titled '**Jeet Raj Sharma vs. Anand Jain Director Anti Corruption Bureau**' bearing WP(C) No. 1170/2022, whereby the writ petition was disposed of with a direction to the Director Anti Corruption Bureau, Jammu to conclude the preliminary enquiry as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of three months from the date of the judgment.

Statement of facts has been filed by the respondents, wherein it is stated that the complaint of the petitioner had already been disposed of prior to the issuance of order dated 30.05.2022, and the same was duly intimated to the petitioner. It is further stated that the petitioner has leveled baseless and frivolous allegations against the respondent.

Faced with the above position, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that it was incumbent upon the respondent to inform the petitioner about the grounds on which the complaint was closed and to disclose the outcome of the preliminary enquiry conducted by the Bureau. However, no such details have been provided in the statement of facts.

Ms. Chetna Manhas, learned counsel appearing vice Mr. Raman Sharma, learned AAG, is directed to produce the relevant record pertaining to the enquiry conducted by the respondent on the next date of hearing.

List for consideration on **23.07.2025**.

**(MOKSHA KHAJURIA KAZMI)**  
**JUDGE**

JAMMU  
01.07.2025  
Nikhil

