

HIGH COURT OF JAMMU & KASHMIR AND LADAKH
AT JAMMU

Sr. No. 26



2026:JKLHC-JMU:1419

MA No. 176/2005

IA No. 247/2005

New India Assurance Co.

....Petitioner(s)

Through:- Mr. Vipin Gandotra, Advocate

Mohd Alam and others

....Respondent(s)

Through :- None.

Coram: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAHUL BHARTI, JUDGE

ORDER

13.09.2022

The respondent nos. 1 to 7 claimants in the claim petition in which award dated 27.02.2004 under the Workmen's Compensation Act, 1923 came to be passed by the Commissioner, Workmen's Compensation Act, Jammu. In this claim petition, the respondent nos. 1 to 7 had furnished their residential address as the resident of village Tandul, Surenkote whereas in the appeal filed by the New India Assurance Company in residential address of respondent nos. 1 to 7 has been mentioned only by reference to Tehsil Surenkote omitting mention of village name Tandul and, as such, the service of the respondent nos. 1 to cannot be taken to have been effected in the present case and that is the reason of non-appearance in this case on behalf of the respondent nos. 1 to 7, although publication notice in "Kashmir Times" was issued but that too with reference to Tehsil Surankote.

Thus, this court directs that fresh service of respondent nos. 1 to 7 by the registered postal notices be effected bearing residential address of the respondent nos. 1 to 7 by reference to their village Tandul.



Learned counsel for the appellant is directed to furnish registered postal covers within a period of seven days, whereupon notice be issued.

List this case on 27.10.2022.

(Rahul Bharti)
Judge

Jammu:
13.09.2022
Meenakshi

