

**HIGH COURT OF JAMMU & KASHMIR AND LADAKH
AT JAMMU**

WP(C) No. 41/2026
CM No. 128/2026

Kamal Kishore and ors.

.....Applicant(s)/Petitioner(s)

Through :- Mr. Parvesh Singh Salaria, Advocate

v/s

UT of J&K and ors.

.....Respondent(s)

Through :-

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY PARIHAR, JUDGE

ORDER
15.01.2026

1. Petitioners' appeal against the order of Tehsildar Agrarian Reforms, Jammu in respect of Mutation No. 320 of Village Narwal Bala, Tehsil & District Jammu under Section 4 of the Agrarian Reforms Act, by virtue of which, mutation in respect of the land falling under Khasra No. 297/118 measuring 17 Marlas situated at Narwal Bala, Tehsil and District Jammu was attested in favour of the respondents, which they alleged to be in violation of the Agrarian Reforms Act, which appeal firstly was dismissed for non prosecution and subsequently, when the petitioners filed an application for restoration, that too in terms of the order impugned, has been dismissed.
2. It is submitted by the counsel for the petitioners that the Appellate Authority has adopted unfair procedure while dismissing the restoration application. The order of dismissal of restoration application has deprived the petitioners of their right to contest the mutation on merits and the dismissal of the appeal has deprived the petitioners of their right to get the mutation set aside.

3. Be that as it is, *prima facie*, case for intervention is made out.
4. Issue notice to the respondents, returnable within a period of three weeks. Requisite steps within a week's time.
5. List on 23.03.2026.
6. In the meanwhile, subject to objections from the other side, operation of the impugned order is directed to be stayed. The parties are directed to maintain status quo as regards the subject matter of this petition.

(Sanjay Parihar)
Judge

JAMMU
15.01.2026
Neha-II

