

**HIGH COURT OF JAMMU & KASHMIR AND LADAKH
AT JAMMU**

CCP(S) No. 3/2021 in
SWP No. 1966/2021
CM Nos. 217/2024, 4272/2022,
119/2021, 5480/2024, 7450/2021

Ravinder Kumar Bhan.

.....Appellant(s)/Petitioner(s)

Through: Mr. Nigam Mehta, Advocate
Petitioner present in person.

Vs

Naveen Kumar Choudhary Secy. And anr.

..... Respondent(s)

Through: Mr. Adarsh Bhagat, GA

Coram: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE WASIM SADIQ NARGAL, JUDGE

ORDER
17.12.2024

1. It has been brought to the notice of this Court by Mr. Adarsh Bhagat, learned GA that the order/judgment dated 08.06.2020 in SWP No. 1966/2011 has been complied with and the order to this effect dated 10.12.2024 vide No. Agro/Adm (56) of 2024-25/JK AIDCL was issued.
2. Perusal of the order reveals that the Corporation has preferred an LPA against the judgment dated 08.06.2020 passed by the learned Single Judge of this Court and the same was dismissed by the Hon'ble Division Bench of this Court vide judgment dated 09.02.2024. Feeling aggrieved of the same, Corporation preferred SLP before the Apex Court against the judgment dated 09.02.2024 registered as Diary No. 28132/2024 which too was dismissed on 25.10.2024.

3. The respondents in compliance to the order passed by this Court dated 08.06.2020 which has been upheld up to the Apex Court has accorded sanction for implementation of order No. Agro/Adm/47/AIDCL of 1984 dated 28.12.1984 and order No. Agro/Adm/34/AIDCL dated 27.04.1994 whereby the financial benefits have been given to the petitioner. Copy of the aforesaid order dated 10.12.2024 issued by the respondents has been provided to this Court which is taken on record.
4. After perusal of the order dated 10.12.2024 which has been provided to this Court, this Court is of the view that the order/judgment dated 08.06.2020 passed by this Court stands complied with by the respondents and no fruitful purpose will be served by keeping this contempt petition alive.
5. In the aforesaid backdrop, the proceedings in the contempt petition are closed. Rule, if any, shall stand discharged.
6. The financial benefits which finds mention in the aforesaid order shall be paid to the petitioner within four weeks from today.
7. It is also made clear that in case financial benefits are not paid to the petitioner within the aforesaid period, the petitioner will be at liberty to revive the instant contempt petition and in that eventuality, this Court will be constrained to initiate coercive measures against the respondents

(Wasim Sadiq Nargal)
Judge

Jammu
17.12.2024
Tarun/PS