

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JAMMU & KASHMIR AND LADAKH
AT SRINAGAR**

WP(C) 3381/2023 CM(8145/2023

M/S DURRANI ENCLAVE

...Petitioner/Appellant(s)

Through: Mr. Arif Sikander Mir, Advocate

Vs.

UNION OF INDIA AND ORS

...Respondent(s)

Through: Ms Rehana Qayoom, CGC vice
Mr. T.M. Shamsi, DSGI
Ms Taniya, Advocate

CORAM:

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV KUMAR, JUDGE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY PARIHAR, JUDGE**

ORDE R

29.10.2025

1. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that, subject to the respondent-Bank according sanction for permitting the petitioner to enter into a tripartite agreement with the buyers of the property which is subject matter of mortgage, the petitioner would clear its liability. It has been noticed that earlier, vide order dated 28.11.2024, similar sanction was granted by the respondent-Bank, but the petitioner could not dispose of its property through tripartite agreement.
2. Be that as it may, in the given facts and circumstances, let the learned appearing counsel for the Bank seek fresh instructions in the matter.
3. List on 29.11.2025.

**(SANJAY PARIHAR)
JUDGE**

**(SANJEEV KUMAR)
JUDGE**

SRINAGAR:

29.10.2025

"ARIF"