

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JAMMU & KASHMIR AND LADAKH**  
**AT SRINAGAR**

WP(C) 2921/2025 CM(7757/2025)

TARIQ AHMAD KHAN AND ORS ...Petitioner/Appellant(s)

Through: Mr. Nissar Ahmad Bhat, Advocate.

**Vs.**

UNION TERRITORY OF J AND K (REVENUE) AND ...Respondent(s)  
ORS

Through: Mr. Ilyas Nazir Laway, GA.

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR JUSTICE RAJESH SEKHRI, JUDGE**

**ORDER**

25.11.2025

Petitioners claim to be owners in possession of land measuring 2 kanals and 7 marlas covered under Survey No.373 situate at Bandipora Kashmir. It is contention of the petitioners that they have raised a three storied commercial building on their proprietary land, after due sanction for the same came to be accorded by Municipal Council, Bandipora, strictly as per the terms and conditions incorporated therein.

It is contention of the petitioner that in 2023 a complaint was preferred before CBI-ACB Srinagar with respect to land covered under Survey No.368(Kacharai land) and 367 situate at Bandipora. A team came to be constituted by Tehsildar Bandipora, who submitted the report by clarifying that land measuring 3 kanals and 14 marlas covered under Survey No.367 was proprietary land, but 12 marlas of the land covered under Survey No.368 was Mehfooz Kacharai.

The bone of contention, according to the petitioners, is with respect to Survey Nos.368(Kacharai land) and 367 and Deputy Commissioner,

Bandipora, in terms of impugned order No.6/2025 dated 17.11.2025 directed Tehsildar Bandipora and Executive Officer Municipal Committee Bandipora, to seal the structure which was constructed on the kacharie land. Allegation of the petitioners is that the respondents under the guise of the impugned order dated 17.11.2025 have sealed proprietary structure of the petitioners, situated on Khasra No.373 and that too without issuance of prior notice and affording any opportunity of being heard to them.

Mr. Ilyas Nazir Laway, appearing counsel for the respondents has stated that respondents have nothing to do with the proprietary land and structure raised thereon by the petitioners and that structures sought to be sealed by the respondents is on Kacharie/State land.

Mr. Laway, seeks and is granted four weeks time to file response.

List on 03.02.2026.

Meanwhile, respondents are directed to de-seal the structure of the petitioner, provided it is raised on Khasra No.373 only and not on the Kacharie land/State land.

**(RAJESH SEKHRI)**  
**JUDGE**

**SRINAGAR**

25.11.2025

*Ishaq*