

**HIGH COURT OF JAMMU & KASHMIR AND LADAKH
AT SRINAGAR
(THROUGH VIRTUAL MODE)**

CJ Court

Case No. LPA No. 278/2025

Sabeena

.....Appellant(s)/Petitioner(s)

Through: Mr. J. P. Gandhi, Adv.
(through Jammu Wing)
Mr. Nissar Ahmad, Adv.

vs

Zahoor Ahmad Kambay and
others

..... Respondent(s)

Through: Mr. G. M. Bhat, Adv.

**Coram: HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJNESH OSWAL, JUDGE**

**ORDER
08.12.2025**

1. Learned counsel for the appellant contends *inter alia* that the observations recorded by the Writ Court that the respondents have placed on record the revenue extracts to show that they were in actual physical possession of the suit land is apparently erroneous, for, no such record was ever produced.
2. To the contrary, learned counsel for the respondents submits that the documents on record show that the predecessor-in-interest of the private respondents have been in physical possession of the suit property for years.
3. He prays for a short accommodation to furnish a specific affidavit showing that the petitioners are the successor-in-interest of the persons who were shown to be in actual physical possession of the suit land and also the revenue extracts substantiating their possession.
4. Adjourned to 23.12.2025.

**(RAJNESH OSWAL)
JUDGE**

**(ARUN PALLI)
CHIEF JUSTICE**

**Jammu
08.12.2025
Rakesh**