

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JAMMU & KASHMIR AND LADAKH
AT SRINAGAR

CCP(S) 285/2025

JEET SINGH

...Petitioner(s)/appellant(s)

Through: Mr. Z.A. Qureshi, Sr. Advocate

Vs.

R. PRABHU AND ANR

...Respondent(s)

Through: Mr. Mohammad Younis, Assisting Counsel.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY DHAR, JUDGE

ORDER

24-07-2026

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that respondents have complied with the direction of the writ court to the extent of placement of petitioner in the grades as directed by the writ court, but after refixation of the salary, the arrears have not been released in favour of the petitioner.

In the compliance report, the respondents have submitted that there is no change in the basic pay due to placement within the cluster, as such, no arrears are due to the petitioner.

Once the petitioner has been placed in a higher grade, ordinarily his pay is required to be refixed, and this would result in monetary benefit to the petitioner. Therefore, the respondents are directed to explain as to how, in the present case, no monetary benefit has accrued in favour of the petitioner despite placing him in a higher pay scale. Needful be done by the next date of hearing.

List on 31.08.2026.

(SANJAY DHAR)
JUDGE

SRINAGAR
24-07-2026
Junaid