

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JAMMU & KASHMIR AND LADAKH  
AT SRINAGAR**

**CrLM 71/2026 in CRM(M) 486/2023**

**Shabeena Ibrahim**

**...Petitioner(s)**

Through: None

**Mir Usmaan Disooki and Anr.**

**...Respondent(s)**

Through: Mr. Sheikh Nisar Hussain, Advocate &  
Mr. Adbul Rehman Dar, Advocate for R-1.

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAHUL BHARTI, JUDGE**

**ORDER**

09.02.2026

- 1.** This case was passed over when put to first call because of non-availability of counsel for the petitioner. When the case has been called second time there is no appearance on behalf of the counsel for the petitioner.
- 2.** On the last date of hearing i.e., 17.10.2025 the counsel for the petitioner was absent.
- 3.** It appears that, after having availed a direction from this Court in terms of order dated 15.09.2023 of staying the proceedings of the criminal case pending before the Court of Chief Judicial Magistrate, Srinagar, the petitioner as well as her counsel have

taken it for granted that on subsequent dates of hearing this Court is meant to conduct the case for and on their behalf notwithstanding their respective absence.

**4.** Counsel for the contesting respondent No. 1 is present.

**5.** Accordingly, the petition is **dismissed** for non-prosecution.

**6.** The Court of Chief Judicial Magistrate, Srinagar is directed to resume the proceedings in the criminal case titled **“Mir Usman Disooki Vs. Jehan Haibullah Mir and Ors.”**

**(RAHUL BHARTI)  
JUDGE**

**SRINAGAR:**

09.02.2026

“Mir Arif”