

S.No.10
Regular Case List

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JAMMU & KASHMIR AND LADAKH
AT SRINAGAR**

WP(C) 2094/2024

RAVINDER SINGH

...Petitioner(s)/Appellant(s).

Through: Mr. Rizwan-ul-Zaman, Advocate

Vs.

UNION TERRITORY OF J AND K
AND ORS.

...Respondent(s).

Through: Mr. Sheikh Younis, Advocate for R-3

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE WASIM SADIQ NARGAL, JUDGE

ORDER

20.05.2026

1. While the matter was being argued, learned counsel for respondent No. 3 raised an issue with regard to the maintainability of the present petition on the ground that the petitioner had preferred an appeal before the concerned Deputy Commissioner against the mutation after a gap of more than 12 years without disclosing or explaining the delay, particularly when the petitioner was fully aware of the mutation in question. It was further submitted by learned counsel for respondent No. 3 that even thereafter, the petitioner waited for more than one year to challenge the order passed by the appellate authority through the medium of the present petition and, after having accepted the said order without any demur, has filed the present petition without explaining the delay.
2. In view of the aforesaid submissions, learned counsel for the petitioner is directed to address arguments on the maintainability of the present petition, particularly with regard to delay and laches, before the matter is considered on merits.
3. List on 05.06.2026, higher up.
4. Interim direction(s), if any subsisting as on date, shall continue till next date of hearing before the Bench.

**(WASIM SADIQ NARGAL)
JUDGE**

SRINAGAR:
20-05-2026
Mubashir