

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JAMMU & KASHMIR AND LADAKH
AT SRINAGAR

CCP(D) 33/2025 in[LPA 211/2023]

ABDUL MAJID KHAN AND ANR

...Petitioner(s)/appellant(s)

Through: Mr. Prince Hamza Advocate
vice Mr. M Y Bhat, Sr. Advocate
Vs.

YASHA MUDGAL COMMR/SECTY TO
TOURISM AND ORS

...Respondent(s)

Through: Mr. Abdul Rashid Malik, Sr. AAG
with Ms. Shaila Shameem, Assisting counsel

CORAM:

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJNESH OSWAL, JUDGE

ORDER

02-09-2025

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the Coordinate Bench vide order and judgment dated November 13th, 2024 while dismissing the appeal preferred by the Union of India had also issued the following directions:

“Viewed from any angle, we find no infirmity in the impugned order and Judgment passed by the writ court. This appeal is found to be without any merit and is, accordingly, dismissed along with the connected CM. The Appellant-Union of India shall initiate the process for acquisition of the lands in question within a period of four weeks and make an endeavour to conclude the same within six months thereafter. If the Appellant-Union of India needs any extension in the time period stipulated in this order for purpose of acquisition of the lands of the Respondents herein, they shall approach this Court for the said purpose.”

But, it is submitted that to date, the respondents have not initiated any proceedings and have apparently failed to comply with the order and judgment referred to above.

Notice to respondent Nos. 1 to 4 at this stage.

Mr. Abdul Rashid Malik, learned Sr. AAG accepts notice on behalf of the respondent Nos. 1 to 4 and prays for a day's time to seek instructions.

Adjourned to 03.09.2025.

Considering the apparent inaction/indecision at the ends of the respondents, we consider it expedient to direct the respondents to join the proceedings virtually on the adjourned date.

(RAJNESH OSWAL)
JUDGE

(ARUN PALLI)
CHIEF JUSTICE

SRINAGAR
02-09-2025
Aadil

