

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JAMMU & KASHMIR AND LADAKH
AT SRINAGAR

WP(C) 1924/2024 CM(5187/2024)

VIJAY SHARMA(SENIOR CITIZEN) ...Petitioner(s)/appellant(s)

Through: Mr. Ankur Sharma, Advocate (*Through VC*)

Vs.

UNION TERRITORY OF J AND K AND ORS ...Respondent(s)

Through: Mr. Mohsin S. Qadri, Sr. AAG with
Ms. Shaila Shamim, Assisting Counsel
Mr. Pranav Kohli, Sr. Advocate R.8

CORAM:

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJNESH OSWAL, JUDGE

ORDER

16-07-2025

Despite repeated opportunities, the respondents have failed to submit any objections. Again, adjournment is prayed for.

Though, there is hardly any justification to defer the proceedings any further, but as counsel for the respondents undertake to submit the response a week prior to the adjourned date, in the interest of justice, the proceedings are deferred to 27.08.2025.

At this stage, Mr. Mohsin S. Qadri, learned Sr. AAG, with reference to order dated 31.08.2024, submits that although the objections on behalf of the authorities shall be filed, but the question as regards the locus of the petitioner to maintain this petition has to be gone into in the first instance.

Further, the matter in issue being squarely covered by a judgment and order dated 13.08.2024 rendered by a Coordinate Bench in WP(C) No.

1424/2021 and other connected matters, the petition, *ex-facie* is misconceived.

Mr. Pranav Kohli, learned Senior Counsel for the respondent No.8, submits that another petition (WP(C) No. 1770/2024) involving identical issues, is pending for 27.07.2025, and therefore, it would be expedient if both these petitions are heard together.

Adjourned to 27.08.2025, to be heard with WP(C) No. 1770/2024.

(RAJNESH OSWAL)
JUDGE

(ARUN PALLI)
CHIEF JUSTICE

SRINAGAR
16-07-2025
Junaid

