

HIGH COURT OF JAMMU & KASHMIR AND LADAKH
AT SRINAGAR

SWP 2019/2016
c/w CPSW 70/2017

Saima Shafi Mir.

...Petitioner(s)

Through: Mr. Mian Tufail, Advocate.

Vs.

State of J&K and Others.

...Respondent(s)

Through: Mr. Rais Ud Din Ganai, Dy. AG

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE WASIM SADIQ NARGAL, JUDGE.

ORDER
02.02.2024

SWP 2019/2016:

Mr. Mian Tufail, Advocate for Petitioner.

Mr. Rais Ud Din Ganai, Dy. AG for Respondents

It has been brought to the notice of this Court by the learned counsel for the petitioner that the amended petition has already been taken on record by virtue of order dated 30th November, 2017 and inspite of availing number of opportunities, Respondent No. 1 has not filed the response to the amended petition.

Mr. Rais Ud Din Ganai, the learned Deputy Advocate General seeks further three week's time to do the needful.

Reluctantly, in the interest of justice three week's time by way of last and final opportunity is granted to Mr. Rais Ud Din Ganai, the learned Deputy Advocate General to file response on behalf of Respondent No. 1 to the amended petition, with copy in advance to the learned counsel for the petitioner.

List again on 18th March, 2024.

Registry is directed to scan the amended petition and attach the same with the instant file.

CPSW 70/2017:

Appearance as above.

The instant contempt petition has been filed by the petitioner alleging disobedience of order dated 20th December, 2016 passed in SWP No. 2019/2016, whereby this Court stayed the operation of the communications dated 12th May, 2026 and 1st December, 2016.

It has been brought to the notice of the Court that with latest developments which have taken place and are subject matter of the amended writ petition, the instant contempt petition does not survive.

In the aforesaid backdrop, the proceedings in the instant contempt petition shall stand **closed**.

A copy of this order be placed on each file.

(WASIM SADIQ NARGAL)
JUDGE

SRINAGAR:

02.02.2024

“Hamid”

