

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JAMMU & KASHMIR AND LADAKH
AT SRINAGAR

WP(C) 1722/2024 CM(4638/2024)

SAJAD AHMAD BAZAZ

..... Petitioner (s)

Through: Mr. Mr. Majid Bashir, Adv.

V/s

UNION TERRITORY OF J AND K AND ORS.

..... Respondent(s)

Through: Mr. Faheem Shah, GA
Mr. Rabindar Singh, Adv (R2&3)

Coram:

Hon'ble Mr. Justice Sanjay Dhar, Judge

ORDER
27.11.2025

A perusal of the reply filed by the respondent-Bank would reveal that the Bank has passed the impugned order terminating the services of the petitioner on the basis of advice of designated authority of Government of Jammu and Kashmir, meaning thereby that the impugned order owes its origin to the advice of the Government of Jammu and Kashmir. It appears that the petitioner has been dismissed from service in the interest of Security of State. Thus, impleadment of Home Department of the Government of Jammu and Kashmir to the present writ petition is an absolute imperative, but the petitioner has not impleaded the Home Department as a party to the present writ petition.

At the request of learned counsel for the petitioner, Home Department of Government of Jammu and Kashmir through its Commissioner/Secretary is impleaded as respondent No. 4 to the present writ petition. Amended writ petition shall be filed by the writ petitioner by next date of hearing.

In the meantime, notice be issued to newly added respondent No. 4
subject to taking of necessary steps by the petitioner within a weeks' time.

List on 19-02-2026.

(Sanjay Dhar)
Judge

SRINAGAR
27.11.2025
Sarvar

