

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JAMMU & KASHMIR AND LADAKH  
AT SRINAGAR**

**RSA 12/2025**

**ABDUL HAMID BHAT**

**...Petitioner/Appellant(s)**

Through: Mr. Mohd. Ashraf Malik, Advocate with  
Mr. Izhar Salfi, Advocate

**Vs.**

**BASHIR AHMAD WANI AND ORS.**

**...Respondent(s)**

Through: Mr. Syed Irfan, Advocate for R-1

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY PARIHAR, JUDGE**

**ORDER**

05.06.2026

1. After hearing both the counsel, this Court is apprised that there is concurrent finding of fact against the appellant herein. The ld. counsel contends that the finding returned by the appellate Court is perverse requiring setting aside of its judgment.
2. Owing to the ensuing vacation, the matter could not be heard in detail. However, this Court was apprised that on the strength of decree in the Trial Court (confirmed by appellate Court) an execution petition has been laid and that respondents are insisting on said execution.
3. Since the respondent No.1 has succeeded in securing the confirmation of the decree drawn by the Trial Court, he would be within his right to press for early disposal of the execution petition. But given the fact that the appellant/defendant has come in appeal

