

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JAMMU & KASHMIR AND
LADAKH AT SRINAGAR**

Pronounced on:22.04.2026

Uploaded on: 23 .04.2026

*Whether the operative part
or full order is pronounced:*

Full

CCP(S) No.301/2023 in SWP No.1012/2012

MOHAMMAD URFAN SHAH

...PETITIONERS/APPELLANT(S)

Through: - Mr. Bhat Fayaz, Advocate.

Vs.

MS. MANDEEP KOUR & ANR.

...RESPONDENT(S)

Through: - Mr. Furqan Yaoob, GA.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY DHAR, JUDGE

ORDER(ORAL)

1) The petitioner, through the medium of present petition, has sought implementation of order dated 22.12.2022 passed by the Writ Court whereby a direction has been issued to respondents to decide and consider the case of the petitioner for regularization in accordance with law.

2) As per compliance report filed by the respondents, after examining claim of the petitioner, the same has been found devoid of merit and, accordingly, consideration order bearing No.161-VC/LDA of 2025 dated 12.11.2025 has been issued.

3) The petitioner has filed response to the compliance report filed by the respondents in which it has been submitted that the consideration order passed by the respondents is not in tune with the objections filed by the respondents to the writ petition wherein it had been submitted that claim of the petitioner is under active consideration and that the same will be considered after Recruitment Rules are approved by the Government but the respondents have chosen to reject the claim of the petitioner without waiting for approval of the Recruitment Rules. It has been further submitted that the respondents have admitted that they have regularized services of fifteen consolidated/daily rated workers in the year 2000, still then claim of the petitioner has been rejected.

4) The scope of the order passed by the Writ Court was to consider the claim of the petitioner for regularization. The consideration order, whereby claim of the petitioner has been rejected, may or may not be in accordance with law but the merits of the same cannot be gone into by this Court while exercising its contempt jurisdiction. If at all the petitioner has any grievance against the consideration order, he has to avail appropriate legal recourse. Once the

respondents have passed the consideration order, the order of the Writ Court stands complied with.

5) In view of the above, the contempt proceedings are closed, leaving it open to the petitioner to avail appropriate legal remedy.

(Sanjay Dhar)
Judge

SRINAGAR
22.04.2026
“Bhat Altaf-Dary”

Whether the **Order** is speaking: **YES**
Whether the **Order** is reportable: **YES/NO**

