

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JAMMU & KASHMIR AND LADAKH
AT SRINAGAR

CPSW 418/2016 in
[SWP 385/2001]

**MOHAMMAD SHAFI RATHER AND
OTHERS**

...Appellant(s)/Petitioner(s)

Through: Mr. M. M. Dar, Advocate with
Mr. Zaffar Mehdi, Advocate
Vs.


MR. ROHIT KANSAL AND ANOTHER

...Respondent(s)

Through: Mr. M. Younis, Assistant

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MOUL CHATTERJI KOUL-JUDGE



On previous date of hearing, 23.07.2025, a Bench of this Court after observing that there was no justification to grant further time to respondents to comply with the judgment, yet in the interest of justice, one more opportunity was granted to come up with compliance. Despite that, respondents have failed to implement a decade old judgment upheld in LPA.

Instead of complying with a decade old judgement, what is stated by counsel for respondents that General Administration Department has sought opinion from the respondents whether the judgement should be implemented or not.

Such a statement is startling and unfortunate and speaks volumes.

Instead of implementing judgment passed way back in the year 2015 on 04.09.2015 in SWP no.385/2001 and upheld by the Division Bench of this Court in LPA, respondents are by one way or the other defying it and avoiding its compliance. Such a conduct on the part of respondents tantamount gross contempt of the Court.

CPSW 418/2016 in
[SWP 385/2001]

Respondents are required to explain their conduct and show cause as to why proceedings be not initiated against them and they be not punished for having defy the orders of the Court.

Let Robkar be framed against the respondents to show cause as to why they be not punished. They shall remain present in person on the next date of hearing. List on 06.08.2025.

SRINAGAR
30.07.2025
"Imtiyaz"

