

Serial No. 18
Regular Cause List.

HIGH COURT OF JAMMU & KASHMIR AND LADAKH
AT SRINAGAR

CPSW 418/2016 in
[SWP 385/2001]
CM(1565/2025), CM(6949/2024).

MOHAMMAD SHAFI RATHER AND OTHERS.

...Petitioner(s)

Through: Mr. M. M. Dar, Advocate vice
Mr. Zaffar Mehdi, Advocate

VERSUS

MR. ROHIT KANSAL AND ANOTHER.

Through: Mr. Abdul Rashid Malik, Sr. AAG with
Mr. Younis Hafeez, Assisting Counsel.

...Respondent(s)

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY DHAR, JUDGE.

ORDER
23.05.2025

- 01.Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the LPA filed by the respondents stands dismissed by the Division Bench which fact has been confirmed by the learned counsel for the respondents.
- 02.In view of the above development, there is no option for the respondents but to comply with the judgment of the Writ Court.
- 03.On the last date of hearing, the respondents were directed to either produce the stay order or to remain present in person before this Court.
- 04.Today, the respondents have not put in their presence before this Court. Learned counsel for the respondents seeks eight week's time to comply with the judgment of the Writ Court.

05. Although, there is hardly any justification for granting further time to the respondents to comply with the judgment of the Writ Court which has been passed about a decade back, yet in the interest of justice, one more opportunity is granted to the respondents to come up with the compliance report by next date of hearing, failing which the Commissioner/Secretary to Government PWD Department and Chief Engineer PWD (R & B) Kashmir Division, Srinagar shall appear in person before this Court, either physically or through virtual mode, to explain as to why contempt proceedings should not be initiated against them.

06. Be listed on 30.07.2025.

(Sanjay Dhar)
Judge

SRINAGAR
23.05.2025
BISMA

