

HIGH COURT OF JAMMU & KASHMIR AND LADAKH
AT SRINAGAR

HCP No. 37/2026

Firdous Ahmad Shah

...Applicant(s)/Petitioner(s)

Through: Mr. B. A. Tak, Advocate

VERSUS

Union Territory of J&K And Ors.

...Respondent(s)

Through: Mr. Faheem Nisar Shah, GA

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAHUL BHARTI, JUDGE.

ORDER
19.08.2026

1. This is a fourth successive detention which has come to be imposed on the petitioner by reference to detention **Order No. 17-DMK/PSA of 2025** dated **01.05.2025** passed by the District Magistrate, Kupwara ordering detention of the petitioner for preventive him from acting in a manner prejudicial to the security of UT of J&K.
2. Earlier, the petitioner had come to be subjected to preventive detention by virtue of detention **Order No. 13-DMK/PSA of 2018** dated **18.09.2018** also passed by the District Magistrate, Kupwara referring to the alleged state of activities of the

petitioner being found prejudicial to the security of the State.

3. The petitioner was second time subjected to preventive detention by virtue of **Order No. 05-DMK/PSA of 2019** dated **28.01.2019** passed by the District Magistrate, Kupwara in order to prevent the petitioner from acting in a manner prejudicial to the security of the State.
4. Thereafter, by virtue of detention **Order No. 22-DMK/PSA of 2019** dated **02.08.2019** passed by the District Magistrate, Kupwara, the petitioner was again subjected to preventive detention in order to prevent him from acting in a manner prejudicial to the security of the State.
5. The detention **Order No. 05-DMK/PSA of 2019** dated **28.01.2019** was quashed by this Court in a writ petition **HCP No. 49/2019** in terms of judgment dated **11.07.2019**.
6. The detention **Order No. 13-DMK/PSA of 2018** dated **18.09.2018** was also quashed by this Court in writ petition **HCP No. 300/2018** by virtue of a decision dated **31.12.2018**.

7. The detention **Order No. 22-DMK/PSA of 2019** dated **02.08.2019** which came to be revoked by the Government by virtue of Government **Order No. Home/PB-V/956 of 2020** dated **12.04.2020**.
8. In the light of this, this Court needs the entire detention record to be produced from the end of the respondents No. 1 and 2 for which Mr. Faheem Nisar Shah, learned Government Advocate shall ensure that the same be kept available on the next date of hearing considering the fact that the petitioner has already entered second year of detention considering that the maximum detention period meant to be served by the petitioner is two years.
9. List on **02.09.2026**.

(RAHUL BHARTI)
JUDGE

SRINAGAR
19.08.2026
Akshil Dev