

HIGH COURT OF JAMMU & KASHMIR AND LADAKH
AT SRINAGAR

WP(C) 832/2025
c/w (i) CCP(S) 369/2022
(ii) WP(C) 2806/2023
(iii) WP(C) 2547/2025

Kashmir Valley Cooperative House
Building Society Limited.

...Petitioner(s)

Through: Mr. M. Y. Bhat, Senior Advocate with
Ms. Urba Naseer, Advocate.
Mr. T. H. Khawaja, Advocate with
Mr. Naseer Ul Akbar, Advocate.

Vs.

Union Territory of J&K and Ors.

...Respondent(s)

Through: Mr. Faheem Nisar Shah, GA.
Mr. Ahmad Javid, Advocate with
Ms. Heena, Advocate.

CORAM:

Hon'ble Mr. Justice Wasim Sadiq Nargal, Judge.

ORDER
07.04.2026

WP(C) 832/2025;

Mr. Tasaduq H. Khawja, Senior Advocate with Mr. Naseer Ul Akbar, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Faheem Nisar GA, for the respondent(s).

01. Response stands filed on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 3.

02. In terms of Order dated 15th April, 2026, newly added respondent i.e. Returning Officer/Sub-Divisional Magistrate, East, was granted last and final opportunity to file the response, however, the needful has not been done.

03. In view of the above, the right to file the reply of newly added respondent is accordingly *closed*.

04. Pleadings are complete.

05. List this case for consideration on 14th May, 2026 as Case No. 1.

WP(C) 2806/2023:

Mr. M. Y. Bhat, Senior Advocate with Ms. Urba Naseer, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Faheem Nisar GA, for the respondents for R-1, 3 & 5.
Mr. Ahmad Javid, Advocate with Ms. Heena, Advocate for R-6.

06. Pleadings are complete.

07. List as above.

WP(C) 2547/2025:

Mr. M. Y. Bhat, Senior Advocate with Ms. Urba Naseer, Advocate for the petitioner(s).
Mr. Faheem Nisar GA, vice Mr. Ilyas Nazir Laway, GA for the respondent(s).

08. Mr. Faheem Nisar Shah, learned Government Advocate appearing vice Mr. Ilyas Nazir Laway, learned Government Advocate submits that he has already drafted the reply on behalf of respondent No. 6, but the Registry has not entertained the same as the time to file the reply has already expired.

09. In the interest of justice, time is extended by two days to file the reply on behalf of respondent No. 6, with copy in advance to the counsel opposite.

10. The matter could have been heard today but for the reason that reply on behalf of respondent No. 6 was not filed, the matter could not be heard. Since time has been extended to file the reply on behalf of respondent No. 6, it is made clear that in the event the needful is not done within the time so granted, the matter will be heard in absence of reply of respondent No. 6, positively on the next date of hearing.

11. List as above.

(Wasim Sadiq Nargal)
Judge

SRINAGAR:

07.04.2026

"HAMID"