

S.No.21
Regular Case List

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JAMMU & KASHMIR AND LADAKH
AT SRINAGAR**

WP(C) 445/2024 c/w
CCP(S) 215/2025

NASEEM AKHTER(SENIOR
CITIZEN)

...Petitioner(s)/Appellant(s).

Through: Mr. Ateeb Kanth, Advocate

Vs.

UNION OF INDIA AND ORS.
(HOME DEPARTMENT)

...Respondent(s).

Through: Mr. Nazir Ahmad Bhat, CGC for R- 1 to 3
Mr. Ilyas Nazir Laway, GA for R- 4 to 8

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE WASIM SADIQ NARGAL, JUDGE

ORDER
05.05.2026

WP(C) 445/2024

1. Reply stands filed by the respondents.
2. List on 20.05.2026.
3. Interim direction(s), if any subsisting as on date, shall continue till next date of hearing before the Bench.

CCP(S) 215/2025

1. The instant contempt petition arises out of an interim order dated 06.03.2026 passed by this Court in WP(C) No. 445/2024, whereby the respondents were directed to maintain status quo with respect to the subject matter of the petition.
2. It is pleaded in paragraph 3 of the contempt petition that the respondents/contemnors have not adhered to the aforesaid order of status quo and, in violation thereof, allegedly entered upon the subject property, installed angle irons and barbed wire around the same, and uprooted the front boundary of the enclosed land belonging to the petitioner, thereby acting in disregard of the order dated 06.03.2026 passed by this Court. It is further averred that the respondents have willfully and deliberately disobeyed the aforesaid directions of this Court.
3. Statement of facts stands filed on behalf of respondent No. 5, wherein a categorical stand has been taken that there has been no violation of the

status quo order dated 06.03.2026. Moreover, Mr. Nazir Ahmad Bhat, learned CGSC appearing for respondents 1 to 3, and Mr. Ilyas Nazir Laway, learned GA, for respondents 4 to 8 are unanimous in their statement at the Bar that the respondents have not violated the aforesaid order and further assure this Court that until the said status quo order is modified or vacated, the same shall be implemented in its letter and spirit.

4. In view of the aforesaid submissions made at the Bar, coupled with the statement of facts filed by respondent No. 5, this Court is of the opinion that no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping the present contempt petition pending. Accordingly, the proceedings in the present contempt petition are closed. Rule, if any, shall stand discharged.
5. It is, however, made clear that in the event the status quo order dated 06.03.2026 is violated by the respondents in future, the petitioner shall be at liberty to seek revival of the present contempt petition, and in such an eventuality, this Court shall be constrained to take coercive measures in accordance with law.
6. **Disposed of** accordingly.

(WASIM SADIQ NARGAL)
JUDGE

SRINAGAR:
05-05-2026
Mubashir