

**HIGH COURT OF JAMMU & KASHMIR AND LADAKH
AT SRINAGAR**

WP(C) 438/2026 CM(1149/2026)

NAGEENA AKHTER AND ANOTHER

...Appellant(s)/Petitioner(s)

Through: Mr. Imtiyaz Ahmad, Advocate

Vs.

**UNION TERRITORY OF J AND K TH.
COMMR/SECTY TO (HOME) AND
OTHERS**

...Respondent(s)

Through: Mr. Bikramdeep Singh, Dy.AG (R- 1 to 4)
Mr. Aushaq Hussain Shah, Advocate (R- 5 to 8)

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MOKSHA KHAJURIA KAZMI, JUDGE.

ORDER

24.03.2026

1. On 10.03.2026, SHO, Police Station, Khag, Budgam was directed to verify the credentials of the petitioner No. 1, vis-à-vis her alleged marriage with Sahil Ahmad Khan.
2. Mr. Bikramdeep Singh, learned Dy.AG has produced a copy of compliance report, which contains the statement of the concerned Molvi as well as of the witnesses, it has been concluded that no Nikah ceremony has actually been performed between Nageena Akhter D/O Mohammad Akram Khan R/O Shunglipora Khag and Sahil Ahmad Khan S/O Mohammad Maqbool Khan R/O Habber Lassipora Khag, the nikah nama produced by respondent No. 5 to 8, as such, is void. Copy of the compliance report is taken on record.
3. Learned counsel for the respondent Nos. 5 to 8 has produced a copy of the communication dated 14.03.2026, issued by Zonal Education Officer, Khag, wherein he has disputed the genuineness of the Marks Sheet Certificate purported to have been issued by The Jammu & Kashmir Board of School Education Secondary School Examination Class 10th, annexed with the petition by petitioner No. 1, reflecting her date of birth as 03.11.2002. Copy of the communication is taken on record.
4. Petitioner No. 1, Ms. Nageena Akhter has stated at bar that she wants to go back to her parents but only for solemnization of her marriage with religious rituals.

5. Mother of the petitioner, Ms. Haja Begum and respondent No. 6- Mr. Zahid Ahmad Khan (Brother of petitioner No. 1) are present in person, they are directed to submit an undertaking before Registrar Judicial of this Court that they would not harm the petitioners and would go as per wish of the petitioners and the nikah nama, which has been placed on record by the petitioners.
6. Petitioner No. 1 is at liberty to go with her mother and brother- respondent No. 6.
7. Learned counsel for the respondent Nos. 5 to 8 states that he has already filed objections, along with an application bearing No. CM No. 1439/2026. Registry is directed to trace out the objections & application and place the same on record by or before next date of hearing.
8. Till next date of hearing, official respondents are directed not to harass the petitioners. This order shall not, however, be construed as an acknowledgment of the validity of marriage of the petitioners or any dispute in respect thereof.
9. List on 17.04.2026.


(MOKSHA KHAJURIA KAZMI)
JUDGE

SRINAGAR:

24.03.2026

“Adil Ismail”