

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JAMMU & KASHMIR AND LADAKH
AT SRINAGAR**

CRM(M) No. 39/2020

Ghulam Nabi Lone

...Petitioner(s)

Through: None

Vs.

**Union Territory of J&K Through
Commissioner/Secretary**

...Respondent(s)

Through: Mr. Haris Khan, Assisting Counsel vice
Mr. Faheem Nisar Shah, GA
Mr. Shabir Ahmad, Advocate

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY PARIHAR, JUDGE

ORDER

10.04.2026

Nemo for the petitioner.

In the matter in hand the record of proceedings would reveal that in terms of order dated 08.11.2024, the learned counsel for the petitioner has chosen not to appear thereafter the matter was posted for 08.04.2025. On that day the matter could not be taken up for consideration. Thereafter on 30.07.2025, the counsel for the petitioner stayed away from the proceedings and today also, when the matter was taken up, there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner.

It appears from the record of proceedings that the petitioner is not interested in due prosecution of the

matter. Even otherwise, there is concurrent finding against the petitioner, which on the face of it neither is perverse nor otherwise. In that backdrop, this petition is **dismissed** for want of prosecution.

Interim direction(s), if any, subsisting as on date shall stand vacated.

(SANJAY PARIHAR)
JUDGE

SRINAGAR:
10.04.2026
Shabroz