

HIGH COURT OF JAMMU & KASHMIR AND LADAKH
AT SRINAGAR

WP(C) 169/2026

CM(378/2026)

Caveat 2826/2025

Union Territory of J&K and Ors.

...Petitioner(s)

Through: Mr. Syed Musaib, Advocate.

Vs.

Ishtiyaq Abdullah & Ors.

...Respondent(s)

Through: Mr. M. Y. Bhat, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Faizan Majid Bhat, Advocate for Caveator/
Respondent No. 1

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE SINDHU SHARMA, JUDGE.
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SHAHZAD AZEEM, JUDGE.

ORDER

06.02.2026

01. Heard Mr. Syed Musaib, learned Deputy Advocate General. He submits that the matter could not have been decided by the learned Single Bench of the Central Administrative Tribunal Srinagar, Bench, in view of Rule 154 (c) read with Appendix VII, of the Central Administrative Tribunal Rules of Practice, 1993, as the matter pertains to suspension, which is to required to be heard and decided by the Division Bench of the Tribunal.

02. Mr. Faizan Majid Bhat, learned Assisting Counsel to Mr. M. Y. Bhat, learned Senior Counsel representing the respondent No. 1 submits that he will address arguments on Monday i.e. 9th February, 2026.

03. At request, list this mater on 9th February, 2026.

(SHAHZAD AZEEM)
JUDGE

(SINDHU SHARMA)
JUDGE

SRINAGAR:

06.02.2026

"HAMID"