

Vivek Gaurav	...	...	...	...	...	Petitioner
Versus						
The State of Jharkhand and others	...	...	...	...	...	Respondents

For the Petitioner: Mr Pratyush Kumar, Advocate
For the Respondents: Mr Piyush Chitresh, A.C to A.G

1. List this matter on 19.03.2026 along with W.P (PIL) No. 3764 of 2022.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner relies on **P.M. Ashwathanarayana Setty and others versus State of Karnataka and others [1989 Supp (1) SCC 696 (Para-90 to 94)]** in support of his contention that non-providing of any ceiling limit on the Court Fees, insofar as the petition seeking Probate and Letters of Administration is concerned, is discriminatory. He submits that such challenge based on discrimination was accepted by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in this decision.
3. Mr Piyush Chitresh, learned A.C to A.G, submits that counter affidavit has already been filed in W.P (PIL) No. 3764 of 2022, dealing with the challenge on discrimination. He says that in the course of the day, copy of the said affidavit will be furnished to the learned counsel for the petitioner in this petition as well.
4. Accordingly, list the matter on 19.03.2026 along with W.P (PIL) No. 3764 of 2022 under the heading '**High on Board**'.

(Rajesh Shankar, J.)

March 09, 2026
Ranjeet/R.Kr./Cp.1